UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,246	02/20/2001	Kenping Xie	09548.1011USWO	5262
52835 7590 07/14/2010 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2451	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/763,246	XIE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HASSAN PHILLIPS	2451			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Λ This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Λ	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6 and 8-18 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,6 and 8-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/763,246 Page 2

Art Unit: 2451

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to communications filed May 12, 2010. Claims 1, 6 and 8-18 remain pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. In response to applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2010, the examiner agrees Kelly fails to expressly disclose assigning to a computer a unique full digital code address (FDCA) that is adapted to replace IP address-domain name address coding solutions and identifying the computer in the network only by the FDCA on a network layer without converting the FDCA to an IP address, as claimed in claim 1.

Nevertheless, as expressed in previous actions, the examiner submits applicant's disclosure fails to provide support for such teachings. In fact, the disclosure seems to teach otherwise. For example, in the 2nd full paragraph on the 3rd page of the disclosure applicant recites, "The full digital code address (FDCA) can be interpreted by the dedicated interpreting software into IP address..." Such teachings fail to suggest that the FDCA is adapted to replace IP address-domain name address coding solutions and identifying the computer in the network only by the FDCA on a network layer without converting the FDCA to an IP address. Thus, applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6, and 8-18 are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/763,246 Page 3

Art Unit: 2451

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 4. Claims 1, 6, and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 5. Independent claims 1 and 18 have been amended to similarly recite assigning to a computer a unique full digital code address (FDCA) that is adapted to replace IP address-domain name address coding solutions and *identifying the computer in the network only by the FDCA on a network layer without converting the FDCA to an IP address*. After a careful review of applicant's disclosure, support for the amended limitations could not be found. In fact, the examiner only found teachings that suggested otherwise. For example, in the 2nd full paragraph on the 3rd page of the disclosure applicant recites, "The full digital code address (FDCA) can be interpreted by the dedicated interpreting software into IP address..." Such teachings fail to suggest that the FDCA is adapted to replace IP address-domain name address coding solutions and identifying the computer in the network only by the FDCA on a network layer without converting the FDCA to an IP address.

Application/Control Number: 09/763,246 Page 4

Art Unit: 2451

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HASSAN PHILLIPS whose telephone number is (571)272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9a-5:30p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571-272-3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HASSAN PHILLIPS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2451